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Abstract

Purpose: The aim of the study is to assess the determinants, level and type of physical activity undertaken by adults in relation to the recommendations on physical activity.

Basic procedures: The study group consisted of 225 people aged 18-68 years. Selection of the sample was stratified. As the research technique the Polish version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) was used, short version. For statistical analysis, Statistica 10 PL was used. In all analyses considered relevant were those effects, for which the level of test probability \( p \) was lower than the assumed relevance level \( a = 0.05 \) (\( p <0.05 \)).

Main findings: The study shows that women practice less intensively and for shorter time than men. As in the case of gender and physical activity, there is a significant difference (\( p=0.0170 \)) as far as the type of education, and frequency of undertaking intense and moderate physical activity are concerned. People with a secondary education undertake both intense and moderate physical activity more often and for longer periods of time, in comparison to people with higher and primary education. The research shows that physical activity levels do not increase with the education level. There is not a statistically significant difference between profession and the degree of intensity of exercise.

Conclusions: There is a significant correlation between gender and education, and performed physical activity. Age and profession are not factors determining the level of physical activity.

Introduction

Technicization of life has brought mankind much good, but eventually resulted in significant reduction of humans' basic physical activity, sometimes even to a minimum. Recommendations on physical activity developed by scientists are used to maintain and build up health, to "optimize well-being" and to improve health if methodically observed. Because "norm" is regularity, and thanks to the standards and recommendations developed, assessments and comparisons in relation to norms are possible to be made.

Comprehensive development of young people – from birth to maturity – takes place in subsequent, closely connected stages. In this development, family plays a very important role, being the biological, social, cultural, economic and educational environment [1]. Physical activity carried out within the framework of physical culture is of key importance for developing the pattern of activity perpetuated during young age and promoted during adulthood. Not without significance is also following the positive example of people in the immediate environment, because who else but a parent, a teacher or a friend can be most motivating?
By increased physical activity we mean any form of exercise that causes in human body an increase in energy expenditure above the resting value. Depending on the nature of the exercise, its intensity or duration, there are changes in both the functional capacity of individual systems, as well as quantitative (morphological) and qualitative changes on the level of tissues and individual cells [2].

Regular physical activity should be one of the basic elements of human life. It bolsters up good health (health promotion) and prevents development of many chronic diseases, such as cardiovascular and metabolic diseases, and diseases of the musculoskeletal system (primary prevention). In a patient group it not only helps in the treatment of diseases but also prevents complications (secondary prevention) [3].

One of the basic elements of health policy in many countries is promoting healthy eating habits and physical activity. Overweight, obesity, cardiovascular diseases – disease entities that bear the name “diseases of civilization” are also a social problem. Apart from the genetic factors that may cause them, they may also often result from hypokinesia. Technicization of life has brought mankind much good, however, it resulted over time in a significant decrease in humans’ basic physical activity, a decrease that sometimes reaches the minimum. In the current National Health Programme (Narodowy Program Zdrowia, NPZ), in force in Poland for years 1996-2005 and 2007-2015, one of the first operational objectives is: “Increasing the level of physical activity of the population;” in the revised version, currently in force, reducing the incidence of obesity also has its place among the goals [4, 5].

Regardless of the capabilities and limitations, as well as one’s own beliefs, it is important to keep in mind the principle which Jegier states [6]: “Regular physical activity is human’s obligation towards their own health”.

In recent years, the health benefits coming from recreational physical activity are better understood and appreciated, science attaches equal value to recreation in both improving the state of human health and prolonging overall fitness [7]. Physical effort, depending on intensity, is usually divided into three groups [4]:

- low-intensity effort (light) – warmth felt, slightly more rapid breathing and heart rate (e.g. a walk, light work in the garden);
- moderate-intensity effort – warmth clearly felt, rapid breathing (e.g. a brisk walk, climbing stairs, cycling, swimming, dancing);
- high-intensity effort – sweating, shortness of breath (feeling of being out of breath), clearly felt rapid heart rate (e.g. aerobics, jogging, fast swimming, fast dancing).

### Table 1. Physical activity recommendations in prevention of cardiovascular diseases according to European guidelines of 2012

- healthy adults of all ages should spend 2.5–5 hours a week on physical activity or aerobic exercise of at least moderate intensity, or 1–2.5 hours a week on exercise of high intensity
- people leading a sedentary lifestyle are advised to start physical activity from low-intensity exercises
- physical activity / aerobic training can be undertaken in a number of training units, but each should last for ≥ 10 minutes and be evenly distributed throughout the week, i.e. on 4-5 days a week.

**Source:** Jegier (2013) on the basis Perk et al. (2012)

### Table 2. Physical activity recommendations for adults, clinically healthy persons aged 18-65, in order to maintain good health or to improve it (by ACSM and AHA, 2007)

**Aerobic physical activity (improving endurance)**

- moderate-intensity (3–6 METs), performed for at least 30 minutes 5 times a week (exercise of shorter duration can accumulate up to 30 minutes each day, but the training unit has to take at least 10minutes), or
- high intensity (above 6 METs), performed for at least 20 minutes, three times a week, or
- a combination of physical exercise of moderate and high intensity of 450-750 MET * min * week

**Resistance exercise involving large muscle groups**

- triggering large muscle groups, improving muscle strength and endurance – at least 2 times a week;
- 8–10 exercises using the large muscle groups – 2 times a week or more in non-consecutive days;
- resistance used should enable a person to perform 8–12 repetitions without longer fatigue

**Source:** Jegier (2013) on the basis of recommendations from ACSM and AHA 2007 (Haskell et al., 2007)

MET – Metabolic Equivalent
The recommendations of physical activity were presented, among others, by Jegier [3], who developed tables based on the data gathered by researchers from Europe and the USA.

The aim of the study is to assess the determinants, level and type of physical activity undertaken by adults in relation to the recommendations on physical activity.

Material and methods

The study was conducted in Tarnów, lasting from September to November 2013. Participation in the study was voluntary, and the sampling method used was the layered method, with the layers being demographic variables: age, gender, education and occupation. The study group consisted of parents and grandparents of primary school students, as well as people from the school community. The research technique used was the Polish version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ), short version. For qualitative variables, number and percentage was calculated for each level of a variable analyzed. Because the variables in question do not have normal distribution, a nonparametric Mann-Whitney test was used to compare the two groups. For purposes of comparing more than two groups (level of education, professional groups), the nonparametric ANOVA test by Kruskal-Wallis was used. The results are shown in Tables and illustrated by means of categorized histograms. In all analyses, considered relevant were those effects, for which the level of test probability p was lower than the assumed relevance level a = 0.05 (p < 0.05).

Results

The study group consisted of 225 persons, of which 55.1% were women and 44.4% men, and 0.4% did not reveal their gender. The population spanned across 18-68 years, the average age of the respondents was 40.5 years. The most numerous group of subject where those aged 30-40 years. (Fig. 1).

More than half of the respondents had secondary education (55.1%) and a significant proportion of the subjects had higher education (40%). Relatively few respondents had professional and basic education. Some respondents did not disclose their education level (Fig. 2).

When comparing physical activity in relation to the gender of respondents, it can be observed that a statistically significant difference exists only between the activity of intensive and moderate levels (p = 0.0001 and p = 0.0035, respectively). It can be concluded that women rarely undertake intense physical activity during the week in comparison to men (Fig. 3 and 4, Tab. 3).

Age was also taken into consideration as a determinant of physical activity. Most of the subjects were 30–40 years old, which could indicate a higher level of physical activity in relation to other people. As it turns out, in this case, age is not a factor determining the level of physical activity.
activity of the subjects (p > 0.05) (Tab. 4). Since both walking and sitting are not statistically significant in the study group in relation to age, and coincide with the lines depicting the intensive and moderate activity in relation to age, they have not been shown in Figure 7 (Fig. 7).

The next determinant taken into consideration, capable of creating differentiated levels of physical activity was education. The research shows that the level of physical activity does not increase with the level of education. It can be argued that people with secondary education (52.8%), perform a broader range of activities because of their professions, of which exercise is an integral part, whereas people with higher education (40%), due to the nature of their work (often intellectual) are less physically active. This stands in opposition to

![Fig. 3. Intensive physical activity in minutes per week and gender](image1)

![Fig. 4. The distribution of median based on gender in intensive physical activity in minutes per week](image2)

### Table 3. Comparison of physical activity by gender – results summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pair of variables</th>
<th>Mann-Whitney U test with variable: gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender and intense activity (days/week)</td>
<td>4364.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender and intense activity (min/week)</td>
<td>2144.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender and moderate activity (days/week)</td>
<td>4810.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender and moderate activity (min/week)</td>
<td>2532.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender and walking (days/week)</td>
<td>5873.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender and walking (min/week)</td>
<td>5237.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender and walking (hours/week)</td>
<td>5949.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender and sitting (min/week)</td>
<td>5960.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant differences (p < 0.05) in relation to gender are only for intense and moderate activity. The corresponding p-values are indicated in the table in black bold.
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As in the case of gender and performed activity, there is a statistically significant difference ($p = 0.0170$) in relation to the type of education and the frequency of choosing intensive and moderate activity. People with secondary education undertake physical activity, both intensive and moderate, more often and for longer periods of time, compared to people with higher and primary education. People with vocational education are not included in the histogram due to their negligible (not statistically significant) number.

Table 4. Age and individual type of activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pair of variables</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Coefficient of Spearman’s rank correlation</th>
<th>t (N-2) test value: (based on Student’s t-test distribution)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age and intense activity (min/week)</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age and moderate activity (days/week)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age and moderate activity (min/week)</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age and walking (days/week)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age and walking (min/week)</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age and sitting (hours/week)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>$-0.09$</td>
<td>$-1.31$</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age and sitting (min/week)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>$-0.09$</td>
<td>$-1.32$</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. Moderate physical activity in minutes per week and gender

Fig. 6. The distribution of median based on gender in moderate physical activity in minutes per week
The last of the determinants which could condition physical activity in the examined population to be considered was occupation. Occupation was divided into 8 groups with common characteristics, i.e. educational profile, job characteristics, professional level; unemployed persons were also included (Tab. 5, Fig. 10).

There is no statistically significant difference between occupation and the degree of activity intensity considered in days/week. For intense activ-

Fig. 7. The spread of intensive and moderate activity by age

Fig. 8. Intensive physical activity in minutes per week and education level
ity it amounts to \( p = 0.4910 \), and for moderate \( p = 0.4248 \). However, when considering moderate activity in minutes/week, there exists no significant difference (\( p = 0.0500 \)) in relation to the characteristics of the profession, but we are close to the relevant range here, and we could expect a substantial difference between the professional group 2 and 4, 2 and 8 and between 3 and 4, as well as 3 and 8. It means that there could be a statistically significant difference between humanists and those working in the commercial sector, humanists, and those unemployed. Such a difference could also be demonstrated between economists and rep-

**Fig. 9.** Moderate physical activity in minutes per week and education level

### Table 5. Professions/industries in the study population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Profession/trade</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Cumulative group</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Cumulative percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Medical</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9.78</td>
<td>9.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Pedagogical/Humanities</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>16.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Economical</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>15.56</td>
<td>32.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Trade/Sale</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>44.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Building industry/Vocational</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>14.22</td>
<td>58.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Technical/Engineering</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>63.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Different professions/Industries</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>32.89</td>
<td>96.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Unemployed persons</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY:**
1. Medical
2. Pedagogical/Humanities
3. Economical
4. Trade/Sale
5. Building industry/Vocational
6. Technical/Engineering
7. Different professions/Industries
8. Unemployed persons
Fig. 10. The distribution of median in moderate physical activity in minutes per week and the individual professional groups

Fig. 11. Sitting in hours per week and the individual professional groups
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As in the case of intensive and moderate activity, there is no statistically significant difference, as far as walking/strolling in relation to one's profession is considered (p = 0.7731), in days per week. Statistically significant difference exists between the subsidiary that is sitting, and the type of occupation, both in hours/week and minutes/week (p = 0.0015) (Fig. 11 and 12).

For example, a group of people employed in healthcare was compared with economists (p = 0.00019), and a group of people educated in arts with people educated in construction industry or with vocational education (p = 0.0275). The relationship between economists and people unemployed is also interesting (p = 0.0240), but it is worth noting that in this case the differences may be caused by the non-uniform group sizes, which are nonetheless similar in the majority of the scheduled groups. The results may be reliable because the specifics of the various professions and the associated physical activity could be also confirmed in a study on a larger population.

Discussion

The recommendations on physical activity for maintaining or improving the health of people aged 18-65 presented in 2007 by ACSM and AHA suggest [8, 9, 10, 11, 12]:

- 30 minutes of moderate (aerobic) physical activity practiced 5 days a week, or 20 minutes of vigorous physical activity three days a week;
- combining moderate and intensive effort, because of the results being compatible with each other;
- moderate physical activity, such as brisk walking, which can be achieved by 30 minutes’ effort divided into several 10-minute episodes;
- intense physical activity, defined as activity that causes a sharp increase in respiratory rate and heart rate, like jogging;
- moderate and intense physical activity, which should complement the low-intensity efforts such as shopping;
- performing exercises maintaining or increasing muscle mass at least twice a week.
Further benefits, such as improved performance and efficiency, reduced risk of non-infective diseases and maintaining constant body mass can be achieved by going beyond the minimum recommendations on physical activity.

According to Czaja [13], recommendations for individuals aged 50–64 and over 65, and those suffering from chronic diseases, or for those who are under constant medical care involve:
- moderate activity depending on individual health and endurance;
- undertaking activities that will maintain or increase mobility and coordination;
- undertaking activities integrated with therapeutic recommendations.

Dziubak and Motyka [16] got similar results, which support the fact that a significant part of the study group (N = 170), spend their free time passively (reading, watching TV, sitting in front of the computer, taking a nap, etc.), devoting to these activities the following number of hours per week: 1–5 hours – 37.1% of the respondents, 6–10 hours – 17.6%, and 11–15 hours – 20% of respondents. The significant difference between the above-mentioned data and the results that the authors of this study found stems from the relatively short time spent sitting – 70.2% of the study group sit about 8 hours a week and 24.4% sit 8–16 hours a week, which is not a bad result; this result would also deny the thesis that the study group lead sedentary lifestyle. It is worth noting that in our study, sitting was considered a part of everyday human activity, and not as a way of spending free time evaluated in the long term (e.g. consumption of meals as a daily activity as opposed to watching TV as a way of spending free time).

In the general population, the frequency of optimally intensive physical activity is low. Only 31% of adults and 35% of adolescents meet the indications of daily energy expenditure during moderately intensive physical activity [17]. In our study, 68% of subjects declares engaging in intensive physical activity, but the duration of this type of effort is highly diversified.

Studies show that as many as 60-70% of the adult population in Poland lead a sedentary lifestyle. The majority of adult Poles spend their free time passively or performs actions that do not require physical effort, such as reading, watching TV or listening to the radio [18,19]. Our study shows that of all types of physical activity (intensive, moderate, walking/strolling) the largest group among the subjects (70.2%) prefer sitting.

Woynarowska [4] writes that only every third Pole engages in moderately intensive effort, an average of 8 hours a week; intensive effort is undertaken by only 5% of people, with four times more men than women. An average Pole spends 18 hours a week on sedentary activities in their free time, or on doing things that require little physical effort. Similar trends were confirmed by the results of this study, in the light of which the subjects declared engaging in moderate activity 3 days a week for more than 2.5 hours (161.25 min), whereas 16% of subjects engaged in intensive activity for an average of two days a week for 2.5 hours. Activities not requiring much effort, such as sitting, were declared by all subjects, to be engaged in 7 days a week. Average duration of this activity was between 3–16 hours and gave approximately 9.5 hours a week.

The level of physical activity of the subjects depends largely on their age, education level, and financial situation. The younger the age, higher the level of education, better evaluation of the material situation, the higher the percentage of respondents practicing sports. Among the subjects aged 18–44 years with at least secondary education, who are satisfied with the material conditions of their households, the percentage of those practicing sports is higher than average [20]. The results obtained by the researchers from CBOS (Centre for Public Opinion Examination) partially overlap with the results obtained by the authors of this publication. This state of affairs may indicate predominance of passive forms of spending free time and be related to the type of work performed. The average physical activity of women during a week was 4 hours, with 6.5 hours for men, giving an average of slightly more than 5 hours a week. Such activity duration quantitatively meets the norm of recommended moderate activity, but various intensity of effort undertaken by the subjects should be taken into consideration.

In research conducted by Puciat and colleagues, the chance of subjects fulfilling health-related physical activity recommendations decreased with increasing level of education. Among the examined inhabitants of Katowice, men significantly more often than women fulfilled health-promoting physical activity recommendations [21], however, this fact is also recorded in other publications [22, 23]. Identical results were obtained in our study, in which the physical activity undertaken by people with higher education was lower, and even inversely proportional to the level of education. It can also be observed that throughout the week, men more often than women engage in frequent and intensive physical exercise.

In reference to Woynarowska [4] and teams of experts from England, Canada and the USA [10, 12, 24, 25] the recommended level of physical activity equals 60...
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or more minutes of daily, diverse activity of at least moderate intensity. Taken into account are the PE. lessons, other organized physical activities, sport and walking (cycling) to and from school, work around the household, work in the garden, and so on. Exercises to increase endurance, suppleness and strength of various muscle groups are especially recommended.

Due to the existence of a dose-response relationship between physical activity and health, adults who want to improve their physical fitness, as well as reduce the risk of chronic diseases and disabilities, and prevent weight gain, should undertake the minimum amount of physical activity recommended [3]. To be more specific, one should satisfy this criterion regardless of age, gender, education and socioeconomic status, to at least enjoy good health, and multiply one’s own health potential through exercise, which is not only an element of physiological, but also of prevention.

In an adult group, physical exercises to improve endurance, muscle strength, balance, coordination and suppleness should be applied. The greatest benefits of physical exercise is observed in people who perform moderate exercises focusing on endurance and expand them with resistance exercises [3].

Many recent publications suggest that sedentary lifestyle is one of the most important public health problems in industrialized countries. In many countries, the percentage of people with too little physical activity goes as far as 60–70% of the population of adults and at least 30–50% of children and adolescents. The effects this mass hypothesizes has on health, society and economy are quite dramatic [26]. For adults, it is sometimes difficult to change behaviors resulting from beliefs and habits, therefore, at least as far as education and health promotion activities are concerned, the benefits and/or risks of engaging in or lack of physical activity should be pronounced. It is why the importance of early health education is so often emphasized, so that a young, developing human does not manage to fix unhealthy behavior, including the tendency to "motor minimalism", which can have negative effects on their health in their adult life, as well as in old age.

Conclusions

1. Gender determines the level of physical activity. Most women engage in intensive physical effort more seldom and for shorter time than men (on weekly basis). There is a statistically significant difference between intense and moderate activity depending on gender.
2. The study shows that age is not a factor in determining the level of physical activity of the examined group.
3. The level of physical activity does not increase with the level of education. People with secondary education engage in longer and more frequent physical activity (both intense and moderate) more often than those with higher and primary education. The higher the level of education, the smaller the scope and nature of the physical activity engaged in.
4. There is no statistically significant difference between profession and intense physical activity, moderate physical activity and walking.
5. There is a significant difference between profession and the time spent on sitting.
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